

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

NOT FOR CITATION  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 JAMES PAUL GILMORE, JR.,                     )  
12                                     Petitioner,                     )  
13                                     vs.                                     )  
14                                     JEANNE WOODFORD,                     )  
15                                     Respondent.                     )  
16 \_\_\_\_\_)

No. C 06-3624 JF (PR)  
ORDER OF DISMISSAL

17  
18             Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a petition for a writ of habeas  
19 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the calculation of his custody credits  
20 pursuant to his parole revocation. Petitioner provided documentation of his first level of  
21 appeal in the prison administrative appeal process. However, Petitioner did not allege  
22 that he presented any of his claims to the California Supreme Court prior to filing this  
23 habeas action. See Petition at 3-9. On February 27, 2007, the Court ordered Petitioner to  
24 show cause why the petition should not be dismissed without prejudice because he had  
25 not exhausted his state court remedies prior to filing the instant petition. The Court  
26 directed Petitioner to file a response within **thirty days** stating: (1) whether he has an  
27 appeal, habeas petition or other post-conviction proceeding now pending before the state  
28 court; and (2) whether he has presented the claims in the instant petition to the California

1 Supreme Court. The Court notified Petitioner that the failure to file a timely response  
2 would result in the Court dismissing the instant petition without prejudice for failure to  
3 exhaust state court remedies.

4 As of the date of this order, Petitioner has not responded to the Court's order, nor  
5 communicated with the Court. Accordingly, the instant petition is DISMISSED without  
6 prejudice for failure to exhaust state court remedies. The Clerk shall terminate any  
7 pending motions and close the file.<sup>1</sup>

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: 5/9/07

10   
JEREMY FOGEL  
United States District Judge

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> The Court notes that Petitioner should act with due diligence to exhaust his claims in  
28 the state supreme court if he intends to return to this Court based upon AEDPA's statute of  
limitations for his federal habeas petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).

1 A copy of this ruling was mailed to the following:

2 James Paul Gilmore, Jr.  
3 P-46444  
4 CA State Prison - Avenal  
5 P.O. Box 9  
6 Avenal, CA 93204  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28